TENTATIVE AGENDA AND MINIBOOK STATE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEETING

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2009

HOUSE ROOM C GENERAL ASSEMBLY BUILDING 9TH & BROAD STREETS RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

Convene – 9:30 a.m.

Minutes (September 3, 2009)		TAB A
Regulations – Final Exempt Actions Case-by-Case Control Technology Determinations (Rev. E04c) Federal Documents Incorporated by Reference (Rev. H09)	Sabasteanski Sabasteanski	B C
Regulations - Final Consumer and Commercial Products (Rev. D06)	Graham	D
Petitions Northern Virginia Opacity Revision Fugitive Dust Regulation Amendment	McLeod	Е
High Priority Violators Report	Nicol	F
Particulate Matter in Roda, Virginia - Report		
Public Forum		
Other Business State Advisory Board Reports Virginia Air Quality Monitoring Review Formaldehyde Work Group State Advisory Board Appointments Board Procedures Air Division Director's Report Royal Fumigation, Suffolk 2009 Ozone Data CAIR Court Decision EPA's Proposed Greenhouse Gas Rule	Deamers Shobe Dowd	G H I
	Regulations – Final Exempt Actions Case-by-Case Control Technology Determinations (Rev. E04c) Federal Documents Incorporated by Reference (Rev. H09) Regulations - Final Consumer and Commercial Products (Rev. D06) Petitions Northern Virginia Opacity Revision Fugitive Dust Regulation Amendment High Priority Violators Report Particulate Matter in Roda, Virginia - Report Public Forum Other Business State Advisory Board Reports Virginia Air Quality Monitoring Review Formaldehyde Work Group State Advisory Board Appointments Board Procedures Air Division Director's Report Royal Fumigation, Suffolk 2009 Ozone Data CAIR Court Decision	Regulations – Final Exempt Actions Case-by-Case Control Technology Determinations (Rev. E04c) Federal Documents Incorporated by Reference (Rev. H09) Regulations - Final Consumer and Commercial Products (Rev. D06) Petitions Northern Virginia Opacity Revision Fugitive Dust Regulation Amendment High Priority Violators Report Nicol Particulate Matter in Roda, Virginia - Report Public Forum Other Business State Advisory Board Reports Virginia Air Quality Monitoring Review Formaldehyde Work Group State Advisory Board Appointments Board Procedures Air Division Director's Report Royal Fumigation, Suffolk 2009 Ozone Data CAIR Court Decision

ADJOURN

NOTE: The Board reserves the right to revise this agenda without notice unless prohibited by law. Revisions to the agenda include, but are not limited to, scheduling changes, additions or deletions. Questions on the latest status of the agenda should be directed to Cindy M. Berndt at (804) 698-4378.

PUBLIC COMMENTS AT <u>STATE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD</u> MEETINGS: The Board encourages public participation in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. To this end, the Board has adopted public participation procedures for regulatory action and for case decisions. These procedures establish the times for the public to provide appropriate comment to the Board for its consideration.

For <u>REGULATORY ACTIONS</u> (adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations), public participation is governed by the Administrative Process Act and the Board's Public Participation Guidelines. Public comment is accepted during the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action phase (minimum 30-day comment period) and during the Notice of Public

Comment Period on Proposed Regulatory Action (minimum 60-day comment period). Notice of these comment periods is announced in the Virginia Register, by posting to the Department of Environmental Quality and Virginia Regulatory Town Hall web sites and by mail to those on the Regulatory Development Mailing List. The comments received during the announced public comment periods are summarized for the Board and considered by the Board when making a decision on the regulatory action.

For <u>CASE DECISIONS</u> (issuance and amendment of permits), the Board adopts public participation procedures in the individual regulations which establish the permit programs. As a general rule, public comment is accepted on a draft permit for a period of 30 days. In some cases a public hearing is held at the conclusion of the public comment period on a draft permit. In other cases there may an additional comment period during which a public hearing is held. In light of these established procedures, the Board accepts public comment on regulatory actions and case decisions, as well as general comments, at Board meetings in accordance with the following:

REGULATORY ACTIONS: Comments on regulatory actions are allowed only when the staff initially presents a regulatory action to the Board for final adoption. At that time, those persons who commented during the public comment period on the proposal are allowed up to 3 minutes to respond to the summary of the comments presented to the Board. Adoption of an emergency regulation is a final adoption for the purposes of this policy. Persons are allowed up to 3 minutes to address the Board on the emergency regulation under consideration.

CASE DECISIONS: Comments on pending case decisions at Board meetings are accepted only when the staff initially presents the pending case decision to the Board for final action. At that time the Board will allow up to 5 minutes for the applicant/owner to make his complete presentation on the pending decision, unless the applicant/owner objects to specific conditions of the decision. In that case, the applicant/owner will be allowed up to 15 minutes to make his complete presentation. The Board will then allow others who commented at the public hearing or during the public comment period up to 3 minutes to exercise their rights to respond to the summary of the prior public comment period presented to the Board. No public comment is allowed on case decisions when a FORMAL HEARING is being held. POOLING MINUTES: Those persons who commented during the public hearing or public comment period and attend the Board meeting may pool their minutes to allow for a single presentation to the Board that does not exceed the time limitation of 3 minutes times the number of persons pooling minutes, or 15 minutes, whichever is less. NEW INFORMATION will not be accepted at the meeting. The Board expects comments and information on a regulatory action or pending case decision to be submitted during the established public comment periods. However, the Board recognizes that in rare instances new information may become available after the close of the public comment period. To provide for consideration of and ensure the appropriate review of this new information, persons who commented during the prior public comment period shall submit the new information to the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) staff contact listed below at least 10 days prior to the Board meeting. The Board's decision will be based on the Department-developed official file and discussions at the Board meeting. In the case of a regulatory action, should the Board or Department decide that the new information was not reasonably available during the prior public comment period, is significant to the Board's decision and should be included in the official file, the Department may announce an additional public comment period in order for all interested persons to have an opportunity to participate.

PUBLIC FORUM: The Board schedules a public forum at each regular meeting to provide an opportunity for citizens to address the Board on matters other than those on the agenda, pending regulatory actions or pending case decisions. Those persons wishing to address the Board during this time should indicate their desire on the sign-in cards/sheet and limit their presentations to 3 minutes or less.

The Board reserves the right to alter the time limitations set forth in this policy without notice and to ensure comments presented at the meeting conform to this policy.

<u>Department of Environmental Quality Staff Contact:</u> Cindy M. Berndt, Director, Regulatory Affairs, Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, P.O. Box 1105, Richmond, Virginia 23218, phone (804) 698-4378; fax (804) 698-4346; e-mail: cindy.berndt@deq.virginia.gov.

Case-by-case Control Technology Determinations (Article 51 of 9VAC5 Chapter 40, Rev. E04c) - Request for Board Action on Exempt Final Regulation: Section 182 of the federal Clean Air Act requires that the state implementation plans (SIPs) require reasonably available control technology (RACT) for stationary sources of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO_X). RACT is the lowest emission limit that a particular source is capable of meeting by the application of control technology that is reasonably available considering technological and economic feasibility. Subpart X to 40 CFR Part 51,

which covers the implementation of the 8-hour ozone standard, requires that nonattainment areas meet the requirements of § 51.900(f), including RACT and major source applicability cut-offs for purposes of RACT. Two typographical errors have been identified in the Virginia regulation (Article 51 of 9VAC5 Chapter 40) that implements Subpart X, and must be corrected.

The substantive amendment the department is recommending be made to the regulation is to revise 9VAC5-40-7420 F and G to correctly cross-reference 9VAC5-40-7410. [9VAC5-40-7420 F and G, page 2]

Federal Documents Incorporated by Reference (Rev. H09) - Request for Board Action on Exempt Final Regulation: The purpose of the proposed action is to amend the regulations to incorporate newly promulgated federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), and national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants for source categories (Maximum Achievable Control Technology, or MACT), Rules 5-5, 6-1, and Rule 6-2, respectively, of the board's regulations.

The board needs to incorporate newly promulgated NSPS, NESHAP, and MACT standards in order for the department to obtain authority from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to enforce these standards. If the board does not do so, authority to enforce the standards remains with the federal government. Further, the standards reflect the most current technical research on the subjects addressed by the standards. To continue to follow the old standards would mean relying on inaccurate and outdated information.

The regulation amendments update state regulations that incorporate by reference certain federal regulations to reflect the Code of Federal Regulations as published on July 1, 2008. Below is a list of the new standards the department is recommending be incorporated into the state regulations by reference:

- 1. Two new NSPSs are being incorporated: Equipment Leaks of VOC in the Synthetic Organic Chemicals Manufacturing Industry for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006 (Subpart VVa, 40 CFR 60.480a -489a); and Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries for which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006 (Subpart GGGa, 40 CFR 60.590a-593a). The date of the Code of Federal Regulations book being incorporated by reference is also being updated to the latest version.
- 2. No new NESHAPs are being incorporated. The date of the Code of Federal Regulations book being incorporated by reference is being updated to the latest version.
- 3. Two new MACTs are being incorporated: Plating and Polishing Operations, Area Sources (Subpart WWWWWW, 40 CFR 63.11504-11513), and Ferroalloys Production Facilities, Area Sources (Subpart YYYYYY, 40 CFR 63.11524-11543). Two new MACTs are not being incorporated at this time: Nine Metal Fabrication and Finishing Source Categories, Area Sources (Subpart XXXXXX, 40 CFR 63.11514 -11523), and Aluminum, Copper, and Other Nonferrous Foundries, Area Sources (Subpart ZZZZZZ, 40 CFR 63.11544-11558); those standards are listed with a note that enforcement of the standard rests with EPA. The date of the Code of Federal Regulations book being incorporated by reference is being updated to the latest version.

Consumer and Commercial Products (9VAC5 Chapter 45, Rev. D06) - Public Participation Report and Request for Board Action: The Clean Air Act mandates that states include in their State Implementation Plans (SIPs) certain control measures. If it is determined that these federally mandated measures will not fill the gap between air quality goals and actual air quality, the SIP must then incorporate additional measures as needed to meet the air quality goals. These additional measures are determined in consultation with locally affected officials, who provide input on control strategy development and associated control measures. In the Northern Virginia area, the pertinent body of locally affected officials is the Metropolitan Washington Air Quality Committee (MWAQC). MWAQC has recommended that

Maryland, Virginia, and Washington, D.C., adopt new regulations or amend existing regulations for consumer products in order to achieve the necessary reductions of VOC emissions in the Northern Virginia area. In the Fredericksburg area, the pertinent body of locally affected officials is the George Washington Air Quality Committee (GWAQC). GWAQC has recommended that similar regulations be adopted and amended for the Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania County area.

To solicit comment from the public on the proposed regulation amendments, the Department issued a notice that provided for receiving comment during a comment period and at a public hearing. The summary and analysis of public testimony follows the brief summary of the substantive amendments.

Below is a brief summary of the substantive amendments that were originally proposed for public comment.

The proposed regulatory action adds a new chapter (9VAC5-45) specifically for regulations pertaining to consumer and commercial products and is applicable to specific product types and the owners that are involved in the manufacture, distribution, retail sales and in some cases, the marketing and use of those products. In Part I of the new chapter, special provisions specify the general testing, monitoring, compliance, notification, recordkeeping and reporting requirements that are applicable to all articles in the new chapter and specify certain other sections of the regulations that are not generally applicable. Exceptions to the special provisions are addressed in each individual article of the new chapter.

In Part II of the new Chapter 45:

- 1. The proposed regulatory action establishes standards for portable fuel containers for products manufactured before and after January 1, 2009 as new Articles 1 and 2 in Chapter 45, respectively, and applies to all of the products subject to the current provisions of Article 42 of 9VAC5-40, Emission Standards for Portable Fuel Container Spillage. Article 1 clarifies some Article 42 exemptions and definitions, adds another exemption category, removes obsolete standards and their associated administrative requirements, and provides criteria for sell-through of products. Because Article 1 applies to all products manufactured before January 1, 2009 and is designed to replace Article 42, the compliance schedule proposed for Article 1 is the same as that in Article 42. Article 2 applies to all portable fuel container products manufactured on or after January 1, 2009 and requires board pre-certification of new portable fuel container products as compliant with new labeling requirements and with new and more stringent design and performance standards. Article 2 also includes applicability to a new category of owner, and adds (i) new and revised exemptions, (ii) new certification procedures, (iii) new testing standards, and (iv) alternative compliance provisions for innovative products over those provisions now applicable under Article 42. The new Article 2 specifies a compliance deadline no later than January 1, 2009. Article 42 will be repealed at an appropriate time after the standards in the new Articles 1 and 2 are effective.
- 2. The proposed regulatory action establishes standards for consumer products manufactured before and after January 1, 2009 as a new Articles 3 and 4 in Chapter 45, respectively and applies to all of the products subject to the current provisions of Article 50 of 9VAC5-40, Emission Standards for Consumer Products. Article 3 pertains to consumer products manufactured before January 1, 2009, clarifies some definitions and standards, makes the alternative control plan (ACP) procedures more flexible, revises labeling, reporting and other administrative requirements, and clarifies sell-through criteria. Because Article 3 applies to all products manufactured before January 1, 2009 and is designed to replace Article 50, the compliance schedule proposed for Article 3 is the same as Article 50. Article 4 applies to all consumer products manufactured after January 1, 2009 and includes all of the changes made in Article 3, adds more definitions and standards for some new product categories and establishes new labeling and other administrative requirements. Article 4 specifies a compliance deadline no later than January 1, 2009. Article 50 will be repealed at an appropriate time after the standards in the new Articles 3 and 4 are effective.
- 3. The proposed regulatory action establishes standards for architectural and industrial maintenance coatings and incorporates all of the provisions of Article 49 of 9VAC5-40, Emission Standards for Architectural and

Industrial Maintenance Coatings into a new Article 5 in Chapter 45, except that the new Article 5 removes some obsolete reporting requirements and changes the remaining one to a recordkeeping requirement. Because the standards and other provisions of the new Article 5 are not substantively changed from what is in Article 49, no new compliance dates are proposed. Article 49 will be repealed at an appropriate time after the new Article 5 standards are effective.

- 4. The proposed regulatory action will add a new regulation, Article 6 in the new chapter 45, that establishes new emission standards for adhesives and sealants. The provisions of this article apply to owners who sell, supply, offer for sale or manufacture for sale commercial adhesives, sealants, adhesive primers or sealant primers that contain volatile organic compounds within the Northern Virginia and Fredericksburg VOC Emissions Control Areas. The provisions will also apply to owners that use, apply for compensation or solicit the use or application of such products in those areas. Exempted from the regulation is any such product manufactured in the Northern Virginia or Fredericksburg VOC Emissions Control Areas for shipment and use outside of these areas. The provisions of this regulation will not apply to a manufacturer or distributor who sells, supplies, or offers for sale such products that do not comply with the VOC standards as long as the manufacturer or distributor can demonstrate both that the product is intended for shipment and use outside of those areas and that the manufacturer or distributor has taken reasonable precautions to assure that the product is not distributed in those areas. A number of product-specific exemptions are also allowed. VOC content limits are specified for different product categories. Control technology guidelines are offered as an alternate means of achieving compliance with the standards. Test methods, registration requirements and recordkeeping procedures are provided. This article specifies a compliance deadline of January 1, 2009.
- 5. The proposed regulatory action establishes standards for asphalt paving operations and incorporates all of the provisions of Article 39 of 9VAC5-40, Emission Standards for Asphalt Paving Operations as a new Article 7 in Chapter 45. Applicability provisions in Article 7 apply to owners instead of sources and a new definition of paving operations is added that clarifies the types of operations to which the provisions of the regulation apply. Since the standards and other provisions in this article are not substantively changed, no new compliance date is proposed. Article 39 will be repealed at an appropriate time after the new Article 7 is effective.

Below is a brief summary of the substantive changes the Department is recommending be made to the original proposal.

- 1. Compliance dates are changed from January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010 to a future date based upon the published effective date of the regulation.
- 2. Recordkeeping requirements for toxics and adhesives have been changed from five years to three years to be consistent with the other recordkeeping requirements in this chapter and in 9VAC5-40 (Existing Stationary Sources).
- 3. A phase-in period for the use or application of single-ply roof membrane adhesives and sealants has been added so that the standard only applies during ozone seasons until January 1, 2012. Beginning on January 1, 2012, the standard applies all year.
- 4. The compliance date for the manufacture, distribution and sale of single-ply roof membrane adhesives and sealants has been delayed until after the phase-in period for the use or application of those products has been completed.

Public Participation Process: A public hearing was held at the DEQ Northern Virginia Regional Office in Woodbridge, Virginia on July 22, 2009. Four members of the general public attended the hearing, with two of those offering oral or written testimony. One additional set of written comments was received during the public comment period from one of the public hearing speakers. As required by law, notice of this hearing was given to the public on or about June 8, 2009 in the Virginia Register and in two major newspapers on the

Northern Virginia Air Quality Control Region (the Washington Times and the Fredericksburg Free-Lance Star). In addition, personal notice of this hearing and the opportunity to comment was given by mail to those persons on the Department's list to receive notices of proposed regulation revisions. A list of hearing attendees and the complete text or an account of each person's testimony is included in the hearing report which is on file at the Department.

Below is a summary of each person's testimony and the accompanying analysis. Included is a brief statement of the subject, the identification of the commenter, the text of the comment and the Board's response (analysis and action taken). Each issue is discussed in light of all of the comments received that affect that issue. The Board has reviewed the comments and developed a specific response based on its evaluation of the issue raised. The Board's action is based on consideration of the overall goals and objectives of the air quality program and the intended purpose of the regulation.

1. <u>SUBJECT</u>: Uniform regulation provisions.

COMMENTER: Joe Yost, Consumer Specialty Products Association (CSPA).

TEXT: CSPA strongly believes in the importance of promulgating uniform regulations for consumer products throughout the Ozone Transport Region (OTR). Thus, CSPA is on record as supporting the recently revised OTC Model Rule because it promotes uniform state regulations in broad regions of the country. The Department's new VOC limits are consistent with standards established by regulations adopted by other states in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast Region. The fact that these VOC limits are consistent throughout the OTR makes these challenging new regulatory standards workable. Therefore, CSPA offers general support for the Department's proposed amendment.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: The Department appreciates the CSPA's support of the proposed revisions to the consumer products regulations. No changes have been made to the proposed regulation based upon this comment.

2. SUBJECT: Inappropriate effective dates.

COMMENTER: Joe Yost, CSPA.

<u>TEXT</u>: As a threshold matter, the proposed January 1, 2009, effective date established by Articles 3 and 4 would create impermissible "after the fact" regulatory requirements. CSPA strongly urges the Department to establish an effective date no earlier than January 1, 2010, for the VOC limits and related enforcement provisions in Articles 3 and 4.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: This comment is acceptable and appropriate changes reflecting the intent of the comment have been made to the proposal.

3. SUBJECT: Inappropriate effective dates.

COMMENTER: Joe Yost, CSPA.

<u>TEXT</u>: The Department should also revise Articles 3 and 4 to maintain the additional 1-year deferral of the standards applicable to all FIFRA-regulated products. Any changes required for FIFRA-related products will also require federal approval which takes about a year. CSPA supports an effective date no earlier than January 1, 2011, for all FIFRA-regulated products.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: This comment is acceptable and appropriate changes reflecting the intent of the comment have been made to the proposal.

4. <u>SUBJECT</u>: Enforcement overlap between consumer products regulations.

COMMENTER: Joe Yost, CSPA.

<u>TEXT</u>: CSPA supports the Department's provision (9VAC5-45-310 D) in Article 3 for dealing with products manufactured before the effective date for the VOC limits. This provision is consistent with the parallel provision in the OTC Model Rule and other states' regulations. In addition, this provision ensures efficient enforcement of this regulation and does not impose unnecessary administrative burdens on the Commonwealth's retailers.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: The Department appreciates the CSPA's support of the proposed revisions to the consumer products regulations. No changes have been made to the proposed regulation based upon this comment.

5. SUBJECT: Availability of ACP information to the public.

COMMENTER: Joe Yost, CSPA.

TEXT: The Department states that the proposed revisions to the current alternative control plan (ACP) provision make the current regulatory provision more flexible. CSPA agrees with this assessment and supports the proposed revisions to the ACP. However, CSPA has serious concerns about the proposed revisions to the manner in which the Department handles information submitted to meet the requirements of the ACP provision. Specifically, CSPA has serious concerns that, as currently drafted, proposed 9VAC5-45-320 K and 9VAC5-45-440 K would impose a burden of proof on manufacturers to make "...a showing satisfactory to the board under 9VAC5-170-60 B that the information meets the criteria in 9VAC5-170-60 C..." before the information will be treated as confidential. CSPA believes that this proposed revision imposes a substantial and unnecessary burden of proof on companies seeking approval of an ACP agreement.

RESPONSE: The proposed language more closely meets the requirements of 9VAC5-170-60 in that such records must be made available to the public unless the owner makes an adequate demonstration that the information is confidential. Merely stating that the information is a trade secret and requesting that it be withheld from the public as confidential is insufficient to meet the requirements of law and regulation. The Department has procedures in place to protect such information until an owner either makes, or fails to make, an adequate demonstration. Virginia law and regulation requires that the information be made available to the public and places the burden on the owner to make the demonstration that it should be withheld instead. No changes have been made to the proposed regulation based upon this comment.

6. <u>SUBJECT</u>: Consumer products five-year record retention requirement. COMMENTER: Joe Yost, CSPA.

<u>TEXT:</u> CSPA has concerns about the length of time that the Department will require companies to maintain records. The proposal will require companies maintain records for five calendar years. CSPA respectfully recommends that Department revise this administrative requirement since it is inconsistent with common industry practices, and recordkeeping provisions in EPA's National Consumer Product Rule, California's Consumer Products Regulation, the OTC Model Rule and several other states' regulations, which only require companies to maintain records for three years. However, to be fair, several states impose a five-year record-retention period. But, since EPA, CARB and a majority of states with OTC-based regulations impose a three-year recordkeeping requirement, CSPA recommends that the Department follow this approach.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: This comment is acceptable and appropriate changes reflecting the intent of the comment have been made to the proposal.

7. <u>SUBJECT</u>: Single-ply roofing adhesive and sealants standards. <u>COMMENTER</u>: Phil Abraham, Vectre Corp. representing Jared Blum, EPDM Roofing Association.

<u>TEXT</u>: The proposal should be modified to allow a two-year phase-in period for the standards for single-ply roofing adhesives, sealants and adhesive primers in Table 45-6A so that the standards only apply from May 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010, from May 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011, and on and after January 1, 2012.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: This comment is acceptable and appropriate changes reflecting the intent of the comment have been made to the proposal.

8. <u>SUBJECT</u>: Single-ply roofing adhesive and sealants standards. <u>COMMENTER</u>: Phil Abraham, Vectre Corp. representing Jared Blum of the EPDM Roofing Association

<u>TEXT</u>: The proposal should be modified to allow manufacturing, distribution and sale of high-VOC single-ply roofing adhesives, sealants, and sealant primers year-round during the phase-in period (until January 1, 2012). Since the necessary lead-time for manufacturing, distribution and stocking sufficient high-VOC product for use during the fall, winter and spring months of the phase-in period is long, a prohibition on the manufacture, distribution and sale of these products during the summer months would cause unnecessary construction delays in the fall, winter and spring months. The new standards should not apply to the manufacture, distribution and sale of single-ply roofing products until January 1, 2012.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: This comment is acceptable and appropriate changes reflecting the intent of the comment have been made to the proposal.

High Priority Violators (Hpv's) For The Fourth Quarter, 2009

DEQ Region	Facility	Brief Description		Status
BRRO	Intermet Radford	Discovery dates – 6/9/09	NOV	- Issued 7/20/09
	Foundry		CO	- In Development
	Radford, Virginia Radford City	Alleged violations:	Additional 1	Information:
	Registration No. 21256	Failed to submit CY 2008 Title V Annual Compliance Certification (ACC) and Semi-Annual		C and SAMR were d on 5/13/09.
	SIC 3321 Gray Iron Foundries NAICS 331511 Primary Metal Mfg.– Iron Foundries	Monitoring Report (SAMR) in a timely manner, conduct weekly opacity observations, and keep the associated records.	with DEQ and Party. The F	OV Meeting was held and the Responsible acility has agreed to arge and sign CO.
PRO	Omega Protein - Reedville	Discovery dates – 7/23/09	NOV CO	- Issued 9/23/09 - In Development
	Reedville, Virginia Northumberland County	Alleged violations:	Additional 1	Information:
	Registration No. 40278	Failure to maintain control equipment, report malfunctions, and conduct required stack testing of an	7/23/09 – Staconducted.	ack tests were
	SIC 2077 Animal and Marine Fats & Oils	emissions unit.		
	NAICS 311613 Food Mfg. – Animal Slaughtering and Processing			

CO's Issued from July through September 2009.

SWRO	American Electric Power	Discovery date – 2/3/09	NOV -Issued 3/5/09
	 Clinch river Plant 		CO - Executed 7/16/09
			Civil Penalty – Paid 8/15/09
	Cleveland, Virginia		(\$77,670.00)
	Russell County		
		Alleged violations:	Additional Information:
	Registration No. 10236		
		Continuous Emission Monitors	8/15/09 – APCO submitted
		(CEM's) recorded sulfur dioxide	permit application for the SOP
	SIC 4911	emission exceedances for the lbs/hr	permit to include language for
	Electrical Services	per unit and the lbs/MMBtu per	SO2 limits.
	NAICS 221112	stack (3-hour block average) limits	
	Electric Power Generation,	for the B&W boilers (Permit and	APCO will complete sulfur
	Transmission and Distribution.	Consent Order violations)	analysis on each shipment of coal.
	Distribution.		Submit quarterly SO2 reports for
			a period of two years.
			Formal resolution should occur
			on 5/30/2011
BRRO	CP Films, Inc – Plant 1	Discovery date – 3/11/09	NOV - Issued 4/15/09
			CO - Executed 7/23/09

	Fieldale, Virginia Henry County		Civil Penalty – Paid 8/11/09 (\$11,154.00)
	Registration No. 30294	Alleged violations:	Additional Information:
	SIC 3083 Laminated Plastics Plate/Sheet NAICS 326130 Plastics and Rubber Products Mfg.	Failure to record and provide operating parameters for the Air Pollution control equipment from 7/1/08 through 10/27/08 as the result of a software failure.	New computers installed on 5/4/09 Consent Order is in review at the facility.
TRO	Hampton University Hampton, Virginia Hampton City Registration No. 60106 SIC 8221 College NAICS 611310 Colleges, Universities, and Professional Schools	Discovery dates - 12/6/07 - 11/19/08 - 12/29/08 Alleged violations: 1st NOV- During Visible Emission Evaluations DEQ staff noted Opacity values that exceeded the 20 % opacity limit on Stack 1. 2nd NOV - Facility failed to conduct required stack test within 5 calendar years of the previous test. The last stack test was conducted on 3/15/02. 3rd NOV - The facility failed to maintain appropriate records (coal shipment certifications and coal analysis for Cl).	1st NOV - Issued 1/28/08 2nd NOV - Issued 1/5/09 3rd NOV - Issued 3/1/09 CO - Executed 8/26/09 Civil Penalty - Paid 9/15/09 (\$39,889.00) Additional Information: DEQ is negotiating with the facility to resolve all three NOV's. The facility conducted the required stack test on 3/10/09. Test results were reviewed by DEQ staff and all reported values are within permit limits. The facility provided coal shipment certifications on 6/11/09.
VRO	O-N Minerals Chemstone Co. – Strasburg Strasburg, Virginia Shenandoah County Registration No. 80252 SIC 3274 Lime NAICS 327410 Nonmetallic Mineral Product Mfg., Lime and Gypsum	Alleged violations: 2 nd NOV - As a result of previous enforcement actions the facility conducted several stack tests on the Hydrator. The test results were evaluated and documented two periods of time where operations occurred while exceeding emission limits for filterable PM.	2 nd NOV - Issued 1/29/09 CO - Executed 9/2/09 Civil Penalty - Paid 9/15/09 (\$29,013.00) Additional Information: 8/21/08 - The facility conducted a second test on the rotary kiln. 10/31/08 test results were reviewed and the facility demonstrated compliance with permit limits. On 3/23/09 discussions with the facility requesting time to provide a proposal for a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP).

VRO	University of Virginia	Discovery date – 3/9/09	NOV - Issued 5/13/09
			ECA* - Executed
	Charlottesville, Virginia		9/18/09
	Charlottesville City		Civil Penalty – None
		Alleged violation:	
	Registration No. 40200		Additional Information:
		Quarterly Excess Emissions	
	SIC 8221	Reports (EER), document NOx	Facility will be requesting a
	College	exceedances for Boiler No. 5 for the	permit amendment.
	NAICS 611310	2008 4 th Quarter and 2009 1 st	
	Colleges, Universities, and	Quarter.	*Executive Compliance
	Professional Schools		Agreement

CO's In Development – Previously Reported NOV's

TRO	Southeastern Public	Discovery date – 4/23/09	NOV	- Issued 4/23/09
	Service Authority		CO	- In
	(SPSA) – Refuse		Development	
	Derived Fuel Plant	Alleged violation:		
		_	Additional Ir	nformation:
	Portsmouth, Virginia	Quarterly Excess Emissions		
	Portsmouth City	Reports (EERs), document CO	Case is in Rev	view
	Ţ	limits being exceeded during 6		
	Registration No. 61018	different quarterly reports since July	On 5/28/09 ha	ad an NOV
		2005.	meeting with	the facility. The
	SIC 4961 & 4953		facility is upd	ating the Best
	Steam & Air Conditioning		Management	Practices (BMP's)
	sup, & Refuse systems		to reduce CO	emissions and
	NAICS 221330, 562213		promote com	olete combustion.
	& 562219			•
	Utilities, Solid Waste		The Title V p	ermit was
	Combustor, Waste		amended on 7	
	Treatment and Disposal			e CO limit from
			the PSD Perm	

EPA NOV's Issued from July through September 2009

*The inspections at the Hopewell facilities were conducted as part of EPA Region III's Hopewell Geographic
Initiative, which is an enforcement strategy created, in part to better understand the transfer of volatile organic
compounds and hazardous air pollutants between facilities in the Hopewell geographic air shed.

*EPA | Hopewell Regional | Discovery dates - 11/7/07 | NOV | - Issued 7/6/09
Wastewater Treatment | Facility (WWTP) | Alleged violations: | Additional Information:

Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTP)	Alleged violations:	Additional Information:
Hopewell, Virginia	Violations of 40 CFR 63 Subpart	9/23/09 - NOV Meeting was
Hopewell City	VVV (Publically Owned Treatment	held with EPA, DEQ, and the
	Works - POTW) and Reasonably	Responsible Party
Registration No. 50735	Available Control Technology	
	(RACT) that include failure the to	
SIC 4952	provide appropriate notification,	
Sewage Systems	meet control requirements, conduct	
NAICS 221320	inspections and monitoring,	
Utilities, Water, Sewage ar	properly calculate emission values.	
Other Systems		

*EPA	DuPont Teijin Films	Discovery dates – 4/18/08	NOV - Issued 7/17/09
	Hopewell, Virginia Chesterfield County	Alleged violations: Violations of 40 CFR 63 Subpart	Additional Information: 9/10/09 - NOV Meeting was
	Registration No. 50418 SIC 2821 Plastic Material/Synthetic resins NAICS 325211 Chemical - resin, Synthetic rubber, and artificial synthetic fibers.	JJJ (Polymers and Resins Group IV), Subpart H (Equipment Leaks), and Subpart EEEE (Organic Liquid Distribution (Non-Gasoline) that include improper use of emission debits and credits; failure to provide certifications, reports and plans; improper emission controls; and failure to identify and repair leaking components.	held with EPA, DEQ, and the Responsible Party
*EPA	Honeywell International Inc.	Discovery date – 11/6/07	EPA 1st NOV - Issued 3/10/09 EPA 2nd NOV - Issued
	Hopewell, Virginia Hopewell City	Alleged violations:	8/21/09
	Registration No. 50232 SIC 2869, 2899, 2819 Industr. Organic Chemical NEC, Chemical & Chem. Prep, NEC, Industrial	1st NOV - Alleged violations of the Benzene Waste NESHAP (40 CFR 61 Subpart FF) and the associated Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) program for the Organic HAPs from Equipment Leaks MACT (40	Additional Information: 5/27/09 - NOV Meeting was held with EPA, DEQ, and the Responsible Party
	Inorganic Chemicals NAICS 325199 Chemical Mfg.	CFR 63 Subpart H) 2 nd NOV - Annual NOx and PM10 emission limit exceedances in 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 at the A, C, D, and E trains of the Area 9 hydroxylamine production unit.	A meeting regarding the 2 nd NOV is being scheduled for 11/09

${\underline{\bf EPA}}$ CO's In Development – Previously Reported NOV's

*EPA	Ashland Aqualon Functional Ingredients	Discovery date – 11/8/07	EPA NOV - Issued 4/2/09
	(Hercules)	Alleged violations:	Additional Information:
	Hopewell, Virginia Hopewell City Registration No. 50363 SIC 2869 Industr. Organic Chemical NEC NAICS 325199 Chemical Mfg.	Alleged violations of the Cellulose MACT (40 CFR 63 Subpart UUUU) and the associated Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) program.	7/8/09 - NOV Meeting was held with EPA, DEQ, and the Responsible Party
EPA & VRO	O-N Minerals Chemstone Co. – Strasburg	Discovery dates – 5/19/08 Alleged violations:	1 st NOV -Issued 6/3/08 EPA NOV - Issued 7/29/08

Strasburg, Virginia Shenandoah County

Registration No. 80252

SIC 3274 Lime NAICS 327410 Nonmetallic Mineral Product Mfg., Lime and Gypsum 1st NOV - SO2 values from testing the Rotary Kiln (conducted on 10/30/07) were 66.1 lbs/hr. That emissions rate corresponds to a PTE of 289.5 tons/yr and is above PSD significance levels. The facility does not have a PSD permit.

EPA NOV - Alleged violations of the Lime Plant NSPS (40 CFR 60 Subpart HH), Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) provisions for physical modifications, and exceeding permitted SO2 limits. EPA CO - In

Development

DEQ CO - In

Development

Additional Information:

8/21/08 – The facility conducted a second test on the rotary kiln.

9/24/08 - EPA met with the facility to discuss the EPA issued NOV.

10/31/08 test results were reviewed and the facility demonstrated compliance with permit limits.

On 3/23/09 discussions with the facility resulted in the facility requesting time to provide a proposal for a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP).

The company has submitted a plan to EPA's RTP, EPA Region III and VADEQ on the installation and operation of SO2 CEMs.